2008 Session Evaluations

Viewer: Debra Duggan-Takagi
Analyst: Courtney Brown and Glenn Wheaton

Target number: 1
Session number: 7
Geographical location: Vaitupu, Tuvalu

>Discussion: Good perception of coastal city and aquatic (platform or boat) activity. Some decoding errors with respect to the size of the city. Island concept not perceived. Good sketches of smaller size structures.

>Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 2
>Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 2
>Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2
>Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 2
>Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes
>Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): partial
>Number of session data pages: 10
>Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 4
>Overall Clarity Score*: 3

Target number: 4
Session number: 6
Geographical location: Fort Jesus, Mombasa

>Discussion: This is mostly a miss with a disaster storyline. However, the concepts of a wharf/port city are correct. Shipping occurs close to Fort Jesus.

>Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 1
>Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 1
>Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 1
>Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 2
>Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes
>Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): partial
>Number of session data pages: 13
>Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 4
>Overall Clarity Score*: 1

Target number: 7
Session number: 5
Geographical location: Sidney Opera House

>Discussion: First half of session is very good. But a disaster storyline appears thereafter. Sketches of the repeated curved and overlapping target topology are good. Interaction between water and the structures is correctly described.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 2
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 1
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 1
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): partial
Number of session data pages: 14
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 5
Overall Clarity Score*: 2

Target number: 10
Session number: 1
Geographical location: Mt. Kilimanjaro

Discussion: The viewer correctly describes the mountain topology. However, the viewer adds water adjacent to the target. (The Indian Ocean is nearby, but it is not strictly adjacent.) Sketches of the mountain topology are excellent except for the water. It should be noted that the target is about 150 miles from the coast, which is not far from a remote-viewing perspective.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 2
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 2
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 2
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): partial
Number of session data pages: 12
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 4
Overall Clarity Score*: 2

NOTES:

* Overall Clarity Score (currently applicable only to 2008 target)
3: excellent target contact and description
2: good target contact with some decoding errors
1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors
0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors

** The Presumptive Clarity Score is based on the quality and quantity of remote-viewing data that one would normally presume to be accurate given expectations regarding the target five years in the future regardless of timeline.
3: excellent target contact and description
2: good target contact with some decoding errors
1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors
0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors