

2008 Session Evaluations

Viewer: Pat Sage

Analyst: Courtney Brown and Lyn Buchanan

Target number: 1

Session number: 7

Geographical location: Tuvalu

Discussion: Excellent discussion of general target gestalts, including issues of degrading water quality and land fertility (due to saline incursion). The only major decoding error is the idea of a mountain in background.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 3

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 3

Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2

Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 2

Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes

Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): yes

Number of session data pages: 2

Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 2

Overall Clarity Score*: 3

Target number: 4

Session number: 6

Geographical location: Fort Jesus, Mombasa

Discussion: Excellent discussion of target as abandoned ruins.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 3

Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 3

Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): no sketches

Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3):
no sketches

Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes

Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): yes

Number of session data pages: 2

Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 2

Overall Clarity Score*: 3

Target number: 7

Session number: 5

Geographical location: Sidney Opera House

Discussion: Good session, but target penetration is only moderate. Good sketch of target topology plus adjacent walkway. Accurate description of weather.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 3
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 2
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 2
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 1
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): yes
Number of session data pages: 2 (summary)
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 2
Overall Clarity Score*: 3

Target number: 10

Session number: 1

Geographical location: Mt. Kilimanjaro

Discussion: Excellent discussion of general target gestalts, including good sketches.

Rate the session low-level data (0-3): 3
Rate the session high-level data (0-3): 3
Rate how well the sketches capture the central target topology (0-3): 3
Rate how well the sketches capture the surrounding geographical target topology (0-3): 3
Is there a coherent theme present in the data? (yes, no, unclear): yes
Does the theme reflect something plausible at the target? (yes, no, partial, n/a): yes
Number of session data pages: 3 (summary)
Number of session data pages with accurate high-level data: 3
Overall Clarity Score*: 3

NOTES:

* Overall Clarity Score (currently applicable only to 2008 target)

3: excellent target contact and description

2: good target contact with some decoding errors

1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors

0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors

** The Presumptive Clarity Score is based on the quality and quantity of remote-viewing data that one would normally presume to be accurate given expectations regarding the target five years in the future regardless of timeline.

3: excellent target contact and description

2: good target contact with some decoding errors

1: basic target contact with significant decoding errors

0: no discernable target contact or gross decoding errors